

REMARKS

Examiner has kindly provides reference prior arts about the present invention, and thus the applicant has more information about the invention. All details of the reference prior arts are fully considered and compared with the present invention.

We have provide the double patent form to the USPTO, which prove that the USP 6,702,285 is invented and assigned to the applicant of the present invention.

(A) For the citation USP 6,702,285

First we states that the item (1) to (18) in the claims 2 and 4 of the present invention define a shape corresponding to the figures 1 to 18 in Fig. 1 of the present invention. However the invention USP 6,702,285 assigned to the inventor of the present invention only uses 17 kinds of blocks to stack a coordinated game device, which are item (1) to (17), same as the figures 1 to 17 in Fig. 1 of the present invention. The item (18) (a case of only one block) is not used in the citation '285. Thereby strictly speaking, the coverage of the present invention is not covered by the citation USP 6,702,285 (assigned to the applicant of the present invention).

(B) For the citation USP 4,257,609

In the present invention, the Figs. 1 to 18 serves to stack a triangular game tray, the detail is illustrated in Fig. 25 of the present invention. The citation USP4,257,609 discloses a triangular game tray, but from Fig. 14 of the citation, it is illustrated that the basic elements for forming the triangular tray is different from the present invention.

It is impossible to get the elements 1 to 18 (in Fig. 1 of the present invention which forms the triangular game tray) from the basic elements 14 of the citation '609, but in playing the game to stack a triangular game tray by a user, the problem is mainly induced from how to stack the elements 1

to 18 to be as a triangular body as the element 100 in Fig. 23 of the present invention, in which technology used is completely different and no relation to those used in citation. Moreover the technology used in the present invention is more complicated different from the citation.

The basic design it how to design the basic elements to stack a triangular body. However in this point, the citation is different from the citation.

If there is any error in the specification, and claims, Applicant requests and authorizes Examiner to amend the claims and specification of the present invention so that the claim and specification can match the requirement of U. S. Patent. Attentions of Examiner to this matter is greatly appreciated.

Since in above discussion, it is apparent that no prior art has the features of the present invention, especially in new claims 2 and 4. Furthermore, as we know that no other prior art has features of the present invention. Thus, the present invention is novel and inventive.

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition for allowance, and such action is respectively requested.

Respectfully submitted.

Ming-Hsien Cheng
Dated: 05 / 12 / 2005

235 Chung - Ho Box 8-24
Taipei Taiwan R. O. C.